



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,906	12/14/2001	Andrew I. Hickson	GB920010074US1	9630
46320	7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG, PA			WALSH, JOHN B	
200 E. LAS (SUITE 2040	OLAS BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301			2151	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/016,906	HICKSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John B. Walsh	2151			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSION OF THE MAILING DANSION OF THE MAILING DANSION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING DANSION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to a cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	· <u> </u>					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 5-16 and 23 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,17,22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4 and 18-21 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔃 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 17, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,463,470 to Mohaban et al.

As concerns claim 1, a method of communication in a publish/subscribe environment in which publisher programs send messages to subscriber programs via one or more message brokering systems, the method comprising the following steps: responsive to receipt of a published message at a message broker, referring to characteristics of the received message and subscriber-specified quality of service requirements to determine an appropriate quality or service for onward transmission of the message (column 5, lines 48-52, message from network entity is part of the data flow); selecting a communication protocol in accordance with the determined quality of service (column 5, lines 60-66); and transmitting the message using the selected communication protocol (column 6, lines 1-2, message is executed after being processed).

As concerns claim 17, a method according to claim 1, wherein the message broker determines an appropriate quality of service by determining which subset of subscribers are

Art Unit: 2151

currently connected to the message brokering system and referring to the subscriber-specified quality of service requirements for only the currently connected subset of subscribers (if a message is sent the subscriber is connected and the QOS is referred for the message).

As concerns claim 22, a message brokering system, configured to provide a publish/subscribe service for publisher and subscriber programs, comprising: means, responsive to receipt of a published message at the message brokering system, for determining an appropriate quality of service for onward transmission of the message in accordance with subscriber-specified quality of service requirements for the received message (column 5, lines 48-52, message from network entity is part of the data flow); means for selecting a communication protocol in accordance with the determined quality of service (column 5, lines 60-66); and means for transmitting the message using the selected communication protocol (column 6, lines 1-2, message is executed after being processed).

As concerns claim 24, a computer program product for providing a publish/subscribe service for publisher and subscriber programs, comprising program code recorded on a machine-readable recording medium, the program code comprising: means, responsive to receipt of a message from a publisher program, for determining an approximate quality of service for onward transmission of the message in accordance with subscriber-specified quality of service requirements for the received message (column 5, lines 48-52, message from network entity is part of the data flow); means for selecting a communication protocol in accordance with the determined quality of service (column 5, lines 60-66); and means for transmitting the message using the selected communication protocol (column 6, lines 1-2; message is executed after being processed).

Art Unit: 2151

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

3. Claims 2-4 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claim 5-16 and 23 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues Mohaban et al. do not disclose the subscriber-specified quality of service requirements. Mohaban et al. do disclose a subscriber-specified quality of service wherein a subscriber/user/manager creates, stores and manages quality of service parameters (column 3, lines 44-45; column 4, line 40, 65-66; column 7, lines 30-37).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2151

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2151

Page 5